

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/068,243	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SPIESS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> SARANG AFZALI	<b>Art Unit</b> 3726	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) SARANG AFZALI.

(2) ALPASLAN SAPMAZ.

**Date of Interview:** 12 March 2010

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 3:30 p.m.

  

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
N/C

Claims discussed:  
30-33

Prior art documents discussed:  
N/A

  

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*In a telephone call made to the Applicant's attorney, Mr. Sapmaz, the Examiner proposed to cancel product-by-process claims 30-33 and amend the abstract and the title in order to put the application in condition for allowance.*

  

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  

/SARANG AFZALI/  
Examiner, Art Unit 3726

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)